



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				1 4
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,577	10/03/2000	Iwao Masuyama	723-939	5668
27562 7	7590 08/27/2003			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1100 N. GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			WHITE, CARMEN D	
ARLINGTON,	, VA 22201	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3714	14
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	. (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

—	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/677,577	MASUYAMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this community	Carmen D. White	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. solodays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the latutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>13 <i>June 2003</i></u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2-14,23-35,43 and 44 is/a	re pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>2-14,23-35,43 and 44</u> is/ar	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-14,23-35,43 and 44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	E cultura						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F 	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/677,577

Art Unit: 3714

...

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6, 9-14, 23-27, 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mical* et al (4,969,647) in view of *Dao* et al (5,835,077).

Regarding claims 2-3, 5 -6, 9, 11, 14, 23-25, 27, 30, 32, 35, Mical teaches a game system having a game apparatus having game program storage means and display means to display an image based on the result of processing by the processing means that comprises a housing to be held by a player; and change-state detecting means, wherein the game program storage stores game space data including image data to display a space for game play, and a display control program causes the display means to display a game space based on the game space data; a simulation program that provides simulation based on an output of the change-state detection (Figures 2 and 3; col. 2, lines 45-51). While Mical teaches the detection of change of the image {rotation/inversion} due to a change in switches contained within the housing, Mical lacks the explicit disclosure of change-state detection related to an amount of a change direction/tilt applied to the housing. In an analogous electronic game apparatus, Dao teaches a control device that has accelerometers [acceleration sensors] that could be contained within a handheld device that provide change-state detection of a change direction/tilt applied to the housing of the device (col. 1, lines 20-33; col. 4, lines 53-56;

Application/Control Number: 09/677,577

Art Unit: 3714

Fig. 1, #10). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the accelerometers of Dao into the hand held video display device of Mical to provide an easier means for providing input in order to invert, rotate or tilt the image.

Regarding claims 4, 26, Mical and Dao teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. The accelerometers of Dao further provide impact {i.e. force} changestate detection (col. 3,lines 1-11).

Regarding claims 10, 31, Mical and Dao teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. While Mical and Dao teach simulated gaming environments which are known for displaying player and non-player [e.g. enemies, background, scenery, etc.] character data, the references are silent regarding explicit teachings of the movements of the non-player character as recited in the claims. The simulated gaming environments of Mical and Dao, particularly the virtual gaming environment of Dao, are capable of performing these functions. It is merely a matter of software programming for the particular game in use on the hand held device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate these features into Mical and Dao to make the game more challenging and thereby more exciting to play.

Regarding claims 12-13, 33-34, Mical and Dao teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. While both references teach game space data and display control programming, the references are silent concerning the explicit teaching of the game space data including space data to display a greater game space than a display

Application/Control Number: 09/677,577

Art Unit: 3714

area to be displayed by the display means. However, Mical and Dao are functionally capable of performing this function. This is merely a matter of software program. Enhanced graphic displays are known in virtual games. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ this feature in Mical and Dao to enhance the graphic capability of the displays; thereby, creating more realistic and exciting virtual games.

Claims 7-8, 28-29, 36 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mical et al in view of Dao et al, further in view of Saito.

Regarding claims 7-8, 28-29 and 36, Mical and Dao teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. The references are silent regarding the feature of a cartridge. In an analogous hand held gaming apparatus, Saito teaches this feature (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a game cartridge in Mical and Dao to allow the player the convenience of playing different games; thereby providing a greater variety of games to increase player interest and retention.

Regarding claims 43-44, Mical, Dao and Saito teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Dao further teaches the use of acceleration sensors (#12, #14, #16). However, the references are silent concerning the feature of acceleration sensors enclosed within the cartridge. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the acceleration sensors of Dao in a game cartridge, as taught by Saito, to provide impact/tilt capability to hand held Art Unit: 3714

devices without the existing hardware. This would make it cheaper to enhance game play on older/existing hand held devices.

Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

The examiner has made a new art rejection, above, that addresses the features which Applicant argues for patentability. The examiner has updated the search and found the Dao reference which better addresses the change-state detection feature for sensing movement of the gaming device's *housing*. Therefore, this office action has been made non-final and Applicant's arguments are moot in light of the new art claim rejections.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3244 (unofficial communications) and 703-305-3579 (official communications).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700